Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

# Hydrogen bonding in isomorphous 2-methyl-4-nitroanilinium bromide and iodide

## Andreas Lemmerer\* and David G. Billing

School of Chemistry, University of the Witwatersrand, Private Bag 3, PO Wits 2050, South Africa

Correspondence e-mail: andy@hobbes.gh.wits.ac.za

Received 27 March 2006 Accepted 28 March 2006 Online 13 April 2006

The isomorphous structures of 2-methyl-4-nitroanilinium bromide,  $C_7H_9N_2O_2^+\cdot Br^-$ , and 2-methyl-4-nitroanilinium iodide,  $C_7H_9N_2O_2^+\cdot I^-$ , exhibit ionic layers separated by hydrocarbon layers. The hydrocarbon layer stacks head-to-head, while in the ionic layer, the ammonium groups and halide anions interact *via* hydrogen bonds to form infinite chains.

### Comment

As part of a study of the effect of anions on the crystal structures of anilinium halide salts, the crystal structures of two protonated 2-methyl-4-nitroaniline molecules are reported. The unprotonated case,  $O_2NC_7H_6NH_2$ , has been studied previously (Ferguson *et al.*, 2001). The authors were investigating the effect that the substituents on the ring had on the packing arrangement and the hydrogen bonding, and they found that the molecules act as double donors and double acceptors of  $N-H\cdots O$  hydrogen bonds. The introduction of a halide anion has a significant effect on the packing, as the ammonium groups form  $N-H\cdots X$  hydrogen bonds only in the title compounds. We report here the isostructural salts 2-methyl-4-nitroanilinium bromide, (I), and 2-methyl-4-nitroanilinium iodide, (II). The detailed packing of (I) will be discussed, as well as the hydrogen bonding of (II).



The atomic numbering schemes of both compounds are shown in Fig. 1. Fig. 2 shows the one-dimensional arrangement of (I), in which a single layer of cations is embedded between two ionic layers, forming an alternating hydrocarbon-ionic structure. Within the ionic layer, the  $Br^-$  atoms and ammonium groups interact *via* hydrogen bonds. The cations pack head-to-head in layers parallel to the *ab* plane. In contrast, the unsubstituted 4-nitroanilinium bromide has a head-to-tail packing arrangement (Lemmerer & Billing, 2006).

Compound (II) has the same packing arrangement as (I) but differs only in the identity of the counter-ion, I1. The volume of the unit cell is unexpectedly less by 2.2 Å<sup>3</sup> for the iodide case, even though the I<sup>-</sup> ion has a larger ionic radius (2.20 Å) than bromide (1.96 Å) (Shannon, 1976). To compensate, the cations pack closer together.

Compound (II) has an extensive network of hydrogen bonding. In the crystal structure, the ions are linked together by  $N2-H2C\cdots I1\cdots H2B-N2-H2C\cdots I1$  hydrogen bonds,



Figure 1

The asymmetric units of (a) (I) and (b) (II), showing the atomic numbering schemes. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.



**Figure 2** A packing diagram for (I), viewed along the *c* axis.

forming infinite chains parallel to [001]. At the same time, two adjacent chains are linked in the [100] direction by N2-H2A···I1 hydrogen bonds to form a connected set of infinite chains (Fig. 3). Overall, the hydrogen-bonding scheme is  $R_2^2(8)$ (Bernstein et al., 1995). The hydrogen-bonded rings connect I<sup>-</sup> ions and 2-methyl-4-nitroanilinium cations, which are related by a twofold screw axis. The chains form ladders, which are parallel to the ac plane. These ladders are then stacked perpendicular to this plane and are connected to the I<sup>-</sup> ions of adjacent ladders by I1···H2C-N2-H2B···I1 hydrogen bonds, *i.e.* atoms H2C and H2B have bifurcated hydrogen bonds. These interactions are weaker, as the hydrogenacceptor  $(H \cdot \cdot A)$  distances are 3.245 (1) and 3.238 (1) Å.

Compound (I) has the same hydrogen-bonding sequence in the c direction. However, due to the smaller van der Waals radius of Br, the ladders are connected by a single Br1···H2C-N2 hydrogen bond. The H···A distance is



### Figure 3

The one-dimensional chains of hydrogen bonds (dashed lines) between the ammonium heads and the I<sup>-</sup> anions in (II). Atoms marked with an asterisk (\*), hash (#), ampersand (&), 'at' sign (@) or double prime (") are at the symmetry positions (1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z), (x, y, 1 + z), (1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z)-z), (x, y, -1 + z) and (1 - x, 1 - y, 2 - z), respectively.



### Figure 4

The weak hydrogen-bonding interactions (light-grey dashed lines) and strong interactions (black dashed lines) which stabilize the overall structure in (II). Atoms marked with the suffixes a, b, c, d, e and f are at the symmetry positions  $(1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z), (1 - x, -\frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z), (1 - x, -\frac{1}{2} +$  $-\frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{3}{2} - z), (1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{3}{2} - z), (x, \frac{3}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z) \text{ and } (x, \frac{3}{2} - y, -\frac{1}{2} + z),$ respectively.

3.12 Å. Subsequently, there is only one bifurcated and three simple hydrogen bonds in (I), compared with three simple and two bifurcated hydrogen bonds in (II).

# **Experimental**

For the preparation of (I), 2-methyl-4-nitroaniline (0.196 g, 1.29 mmol) was added to 48% HBr (18 ml) and the precipitate dissolved by heating the solution. The solution was then cooled to room temperature and yellow crystals of (I) were grown by slow evaporation. Analysis calculated for C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>9</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C 36.1, H 3.9, N 12.0%; found: C 36.8, H 3.9, N 12.7%. For the preparation of (II), 2-methyl-4-nitroaniline (0.204 g, 1.34 mmol) was added to 47% HI (1 ml) and the precipitate dissolved with ethanol (10 ml). Yellow crystals of (II) were grown by slow evaporation of the solution. Elemental analysis was not deemed necessary.

Z = 4

 $D_x = 1.561 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ 

Needle, light yellow

 $0.24 \times 0.08 \times 0.04 \text{ mm}$ 

5290 measured reflections

1798 independent reflections

1435 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

 $\mu = 4.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 293 (2) K

 $R_{\rm int}=0.027$ 

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 25.3^{\circ}$ 

### Compound (I)

Crystal data  $C_7H_9N_2O_2^+ \cdot Br^ M_r = 233.07$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ a = 14.687 (5) Å b = 9.840(5) Å c = 6.877 (5) Å  $\beta = 93.836 \ (5)^{\circ}$ V = 991.6 (9) Å<sup>3</sup> Data collection Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer  $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)

 $T_{\min} = 0.565, T_{\max} = 0.850$ 

# Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.1324P)^2]$  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.058$ wR(F<sup>2</sup>) = 0.220 + 1.4593P] where  $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ S = 1.21 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.006$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.77 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ 1798 reflections  $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.96$  e Å<sup>-3</sup> 99 parameters H-atom parameters constrained

#### Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °) for (I).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$N2-H2A\cdots Br1^{i}$	0.89	2.64	3.490 (10)	159
$N2 - H2B \cdots Br1$	0.89	2.71	3.579 (8)	165
$N2-H2C\cdots Br1^{ii}$	0.89	2.89	3.563 (9)	134
$N2-H2C\cdots Br1^{iii}$	0.89	3.12	3.677 (10)	122

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (ii) x, y, z + 1; (iii)  $x, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

### Compound (II)

Crystal data  $C_7H_9N_2O_2^+ \cdot I^ M_r = 280.06$ 

Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ 

a = 14.671 (4) Å

b = 9.830(3) Å

c = 6.875 (2) Å

 $\beta = 93.782 \ (7)^{\circ}$ 

 $V = 989.4 (5) \text{ Å}^3$ 

Z = 4 $D_x = 1.88 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\mu = 3.20 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) K Needle, yellow  $0.14\,\times\,0.06\,\times\,0.03$  mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector	5499 measured reflections
diffractometer	1846 independent reflections
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	1047 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$R_{\rm int} = 0.073$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.5^{\circ}$
$T_{\min} = 0.664, \ T_{\max} = 0.911$	

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.070$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1138P)^2]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.187$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 0.94	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.004$
1846 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 4.27 \text{ e} \text{ \AA}^{-3}$
99 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.85 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

#### Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °) for (II).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
N2-H2 $A$ ···I1 <sup>i</sup>	0.89	2.62	3.495 (10)	166
$N2-H2C\cdots I1^{ii}$	0.89	2.77	3.568 (9)	150
$N2-H2B\cdots I1$	0.89	2.78	3.568 (8)	148
$N2-H2B\cdots I1^{iii}$	0.89	3.24	3.662 (10)	112
$N2-H2C\cdots I1^{iii}$	0.89	3.24	3.662 (10)	112

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (ii) x, y, z + 1; (iii)  $x, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

H atoms bonded to C and N atoms were refined in idealized positions in the riding-model approximation, with C-H = 0.93 Å and N-H = 0.89 Å, and with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$  or  $1.5U_{eq}(N)$ . The NH<sub>3</sub> group was allowed to rotate but not to tip. The highest residual peak was 1.92 Å from atom Br1 in (I) and 1.90 Å from I1 in (II).

For both compounds, data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SAINT-Plus (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: SAINT- Plus; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997) and DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 1999) and PLATON (Spek, 2003).

The University of the Witwatersrand is thanked for the award of a research grant and for providing the infrastructure required to do this work.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: GD3015). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

### References

- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555-1573.
- Brandenburg, K. (1999). DIAMOND. Release 2.1c. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany,
- Bruker (1998). SMART-NT. Version 5.050. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (1999). SAINT-Plus. Version 6.02 (including XPREP). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Ferguson, G., Glidewell, C., Low, J. N., Skakle, J. M. S. & Wardell, J. L. (2001). Acta Cryst. C57, 315-316.
- Lemmerer, A. & Billing, D. G. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, o1562-o1564.
- Shannon, R. D. (1976). Acta Cryst. A32, 751-767.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.